

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) | COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) | |
|--|---|--|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI) | ARMS COMPLAINT NO: | |
| | | |
| AIRS ID#: 1030304 DATE: <u>2/28/2007</u> AF | RRIVE: <u>2:45PM</u> DEPART: <u>3:15PM</u> | |
| FACILITY NAME: NEW EAST LAKE DRY CLEANERS | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 2459 Sandy Pt Road | | |
| PALM HARBOR 34685 | | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: BRIAN COSTELLO | PHONE: (727)785-6855 | |
| CONTACT NAME: BRIAN COSTELLO | PHONE: (| |
| REMITTANCE YEAR: 2006 ENTITLEME | NT PERIOD: 7/29/2006 / 7/29/2011 (effective date) (end date) | |
| | | |
| PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check <u>E</u> | only one box) | |
| ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIAN | NCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | |
| | | |
| PART II: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION - Rule 62-213.30 (check ☑ only one box in A) | 0 FAC | |
| dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed before 12/9/91) 3. Existing large area source | dry-to-dry only, x < 140 gal/yr transfer only, x < 200 gal/yr both types, x < 140 gal/yr (constructed on or after 12/9/91) New large area source | |
| dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed before $12/9/91$) | dry-to-dry only, $140 \le x \le 2,100$ gal/yr transfer only, $200 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr both types, $140 \le x \le 1,800$ gal/yr (constructed on or after $12/9/91$) | |
| 5. Ineligible for General Permit drop store/out of business/petroleum facility exceeds above limits | | |
| B . The total quantity of perchloroethylene (perc) purchased within the preceding 12 months by this dry cleaning facility was 15 gallons. | | |

| PART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ☑ only one box | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Does the responsible official of the dry cleaning facility: | | for each question) | | | |
| 1. | Store perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers? | ⊠Yes □No □N/A | | | |
| 2. | Examine the containers for leakage? | ⊠Yes □ No □ N/A | | | |
| 3. | Close and secure machine doors except during loading/unloading? | ⊠ Yes □ No | | | |
| | Drain cartridge filters in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal? | □Yes □ No ⊠ N/A | | | |
| 5. | Maintain solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds according to the manufacturer's specifications? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A | | | |
| | PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (Refer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) | | | | |
| | 1. If the facility classification is a Existing small area source, no controls are requi | ired. Proceed to Part V. | | | |
| | 2. If the facility classification is a <u>New small area source</u> , the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated condenser. Complete section A. below. | | | | |
| | 3. If the facility classification is a Existing large area source , the machine should be refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below <i>must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993</i> | | | | |
| | 4. If the facility classification is a <u>New large area source</u> , the machine should be excondenser. Complete both sections A and B below. | quipped with a refrigerated | | | |
| A. | Has the responsible official of all <u>existing large</u> <u>area & new sources</u> : | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | | |
| 1. | Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls? | □Yes ⊠No | | | |
| 2. | Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system? | Yes No N/A | | | |
| 3. | Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door? | - □Yes □No ⊠N/A | | | |
| 4. | Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis? | Yes No | | | |
| 5. | Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F? | - ∐Yes ∏No ⊠N/A | | | |
| 6. | Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged? | ⊠Yes □No | | | |

| PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS - Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| В. | Does the responsible official of an existing large or new large area source also: | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | |
| 1. | Measure and record the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines on a weekly basis? | ⊠Yes □No | | |
| 2. | Measure and record the washer exhaust temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet weekly? | - ∐Yes □ No □N/A | | |
| | a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than $20^{\rm o}$ F? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A | | |
| 3. | Measure and record the perc concentration in the exhaust stream weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber? | □Yes □ No □ N/A | | |
| | a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A | | |
| 4. | Assure that the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations is at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet? | □Yes □ No □ N/A | | |
| 5. | Equip transfer machines (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils? | - Yes No N/A | | |
| 6. | Route airflow to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times? | ☐Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PA | PART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC (check ✓ only one box for | | | |
| Do | es the responsible official: | each question) | | |
| 1. | Maintain receipts for perc purchased? | Yes No | | |
| 2. | Maintain rolling monthly total of yearly perc consumption? | ⊠ Yes □ No | | |
| 3. | Maintain leak detection inspection and repair reports for the following: | | | |
| | a) documentation of leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or; | Yes No No N/A | | |
| | b) documentation of parts ordered to repair leak and leak repaired w/in 2 days and parts installed w/in 5 days of receipt? | ☐ Yes ☐ No N/A | | |
| 4. | Maintain calibration data? (for applicable direct reading instruments) | ☐ Yes ☐ No N/A | | |
| 5. | Maintain exhaust duct monitoring data on perc concentrations? | ☐ Yes ☐ No N/A | | |
| 6. | Maintain a startup/shutdown/malfunction plan? | ⊠ Yes □ No | | |
| 7. | Maintain deviation reports? | Yes No No N/A | | |
| | a) Problem corrected? | Yes No N/A | | |
| 8. | Maintain a compliance plan, if applicable? | Yes No N/A | | |

PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC

1. Does the responsible official conduct a weekly (for small sources, bi-weekly) leak

(check ☑ only one box for each question)

| detection and repair inspection? | | |
|---|--|--|
| 2. Does the facility maintain a leak log? | | |
| | /A g) Muck cookers Yes No N/A /A h) Stills Yes No N/A /A i) Exhaust dampers Yes No N/A /A j) Diverter valves Yes No N/A /A k) Cartridge filter housings Yes No N/A | |
| 4. Which method(s) of detection (is/are) used by the respon | nsible official? | |
| a) Visual examination (condensed solvent on exterior surfaces) ———————————————————————————————————— | | |
| Shea Jackson | 2/28/2007 | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Date of Inspection | |
| | 2008 | |
| Inspector's Signature | Approximate Date of Next Inspection | |

COMMENTS:

- This facility was inspected to check for annual compliance and determine if the source had discontinued the use of the Perchloroethylene. Mr. Costello had informed the Enforcement Supervisor Margaret Hennis, that he had not used the machine since our department last inspection June 2006.
- I met with the facility contact, and new co -owner Brian Costello. The previous responsible official, Anthony Sleeper, was also on site. He had been out due to serious illness. He was only visiting site as he continues be recuperating.
- I reviewed the calendar records, which had stopped being recorded as of July 2006. Mr. Costello had been entering Zeros in his calendar, since he was not operating the cleaning machine. He did not have any purchase invoices. The last recording was July 29, 2006. The monthly temperature ranges were between 38 40°F. The perchloroethylene purchase last was 15 gallons in April 2006. Mr. Costello stated he had not used Perc anymore, as did not like the chemical. He stated he has not been operating the dry cleaning equipment. (See Photos)
- I observed the equipment which was covered with clothes, baskets, coolers, tools mirrors and such to an extent it was difficult to maneuver around the machine. There was no reservoir on the machine to see if there was any remaining Perc. Mr. Costello stated he was going to have the machine drained, and if there was some one who wanted a dry cleaning machine, he was at a point were he wanted to give it away if possible. I advised him to check with Dry cleaners in the area. I informed him he had told Ms. Hennis he had already drained the machine.
- I told him I could not close the file without the removal of Perc, and a letter to rescind the permit. I also advised him to get rid of the Hazardous waste drums he was keeping on site. I advised him I would need documentation, such as the Hazardous waste manifest which shows he had Perc removed.
- Mr. Costello stated he was not sure if he should rescind the permit, as it could be a potential sale point for a new owner. I informed him the air operations general permits were not transferable to new owners. I informed him the Spirit Cleaners had recently removed their machines and could advise him on whom to contact to have the machine drained and possibly removed. He stated he had spoken to Margie Rutherford regarding the removal.

- There appears to be financial difficulty with the removal of waste, machines and etc. I told him that we would continue to inspect and he needs to continue to mark his monthly calendar with remarks that the machine is not being used.
- I asked Mr. Costello to contact me as soon as he had found who would be draining and if they would be removing his machine.